

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/569,221 | | Applicant(s) GRAHAM, DAVID E | |
| | Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier | | Art Unit 1796 | |
| | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Robert L. McKellar.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Obviousness rejection over WO 01/77270 as primary reference.

Claims discussed:
33 and 13.

Prior art documents discussed:
WO 01/77270 A1.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
examiner proposed applicants incorporate claim 13 into the independent claim as indicated in the allowable subject matter of the last Office Action. No agreement was reached.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~ 10 AM

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)